UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK REMOVAL REPORT Report Date 1/29/19

Client Name: Max Hakim

Client Address: 282 Roundhill Road Greenwich, CT 06831

Project Address: Same

Removal Date: <u>1/29/19</u>

UST Location: Front Yard

UST Size and Material: <u>550-Gallon Single Wall Steel</u>

Samples Collected (Analytical Report Attached): One Soil Sample Below UST (ETPH)

One Soil Sample Below Feed Lines (ETPH)

C2G Environmental Consultants, LLC (C2G) was contracted to remove a fuel oil underground storage tank (UST) for the above referenced client at the above referenced location. The UST was cleaned and removed from the ground. The UST and associated piping were examined and soil samples were collected and analyzed in accordance with CTDEEP recommendations. The tank contents and tank were removed from the Site and disposed of by C2G in a lawful manner.

BASED UPON OUR OBSERVATIONS AND REVIEW OF LABORATORY DATA IT IS OUR PROFESSIONAL OPINION THAT THE UST AND ASSOCIATED PIPING REMOVED BY C2G AS DESCRIBED ABOVE DID NOT CAUSE ANY NEGATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

C2G Environmental Consultants, LLC

Vice President

Paul Barty

Distribution

Client: Mailed to Homeowner Farhad Hakim

Building & Fire Department: Mailed to Town of Greenwich

Photograph 1: 550 gallon UST in ground



Photograph 2: 550 UST out of ground



Photograph #3: Tank Cleaned out



Photograph #4: Tank grave



Photograph #5: New Granby 275 10 Year tank installed in basement



Photograph #6: Lines from Tank to furnace





Tuesday, February 05, 2019

Attn: Julie Healy **C2G Northeast Operations** 25 Mallane Lane Naugatuck. CT 06770

Project ID:

MAX HAKIM C8471

SDG ID:

GCC39602

Sample ID#s: CC39602 - CC39603

This laboratory is in compliance with the NELAC requirements of procedures used except where indicated.

This report contains results for the parameters tested, under the sampling conditions described on the Chain Of Custody, as received by the laboratory. This report is incomplete unless all pages indicated in the pagination at the bottom of the page are included.

All soils, solids and sludges are reported on a dry weight basis unless otherwise noted in the sample comments.

A scanned version of the COC form accompanies the analytical report and is an exact duplicate of the original.

If you have any questions concerning this testing, please do not hesitate to contact Phoenix Client Services at ext. 200.

Sincerely yours,

Laboratory Director

NELAC - #NY11301

CT Lab Registration #PH-0618

MA Lab Registration #M-CT007

ME Lab Registration #CT-007

NH Lab Registration #213693-A,B

NJ Lab Registration #CT-003

NY Lab Registration #11301

PA Lab Registration #68-03530

RI Lab Registration #63

UT Lab Registration #CT00007

VT Lab Registration #VT11301



Environmental Laboratories, Inc. 587 East Middle Tumpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045 Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

Sample Id Cross Reference

February 05, 2019

SDG I.D.: GCC39602

Project ID:

MAX HAKIM C8471

Client Id	Lab Id	Matrix	
N3120- BELOW TANK	CC39602	SOIL	
N3120- BELOW LINES	CC39603	SOIL	



587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045 Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

Analysis Report

February 05, 2019

FOR: Attn: Julie Healy

C2G Northeast Operations

25 Mallane Lane Naugatuck. CT 06770

Sample Information

Matrix:

SOIL

Location Code:

C2G-CT

Rush Request:

Standard

P.O.#:

1901-2102

Custody Information

Collected by: Received by:

Analyzed by:

JH CP

01/29/19

11:36

Time

02/01/19

Date

12:05

see "By" below

aboratory Data

SDG ID: GCC39602

Phoenix ID: CC39602

Project ID:

MAX HAKIM C8471

Client ID:

N3120- BELOW TANK

RI/

Result	PQL	Units	Dilution	Date/Time	Ву	Reference	
86		%		02/01/19	DA	SW846-%Solid	
Completed				02/01/19	SG/LV	SW3545A	
e Products	3)						
ND	58	mg/Kg	1	02/02/19	JRB	CTETPH 8015D	
ND		mg/Kg	1	02/02/19	JRB	CTETPH 8015D	
61		%	1	02/02/19	JRB	50 - 150 %	
	86 Completed e Products ND ND	Result PQL 86 Completed e Products) ND 58 ND	Result PQL Units 86 % Completed e Products) ND 58 mg/Kg ND mg/Kg	Result PQL Units Dilution 86 % Completed Products) ND 58 mg/Kg 1 ND mg/Kg 1	Result PQL Units Dilution Date/Time 86 % 02/01/19 Completed 02/01/19 e Products) ND 58 mg/Kg 1 02/02/19 ND mg/Kg 1 02/02/19 ND mg/Kg 1 02/02/19	Result PQL Units Dilution Date/Time By 86 % 02/01/19 DA Completed 02/01/19 SG/LV e Products) ND 58 mg/Kg 1 02/02/19 JRB ND mg/Kg 1 02/02/19 JRB	Result PQL Units Dilution Date/Time By Reference 86 % 02/01/19 DA SW846-%Solid Completed 02/01/19 SG/LV SW3545A e Products) ND 58 mg/Kg 1 02/02/19 JRB CTETPH 8015D ND mg/Kg 1 02/02/19 JRB CTETPH 8015D

RL/PQL=Reporting/Practical Quantitation Level ND=Not Detected BRL=Below Reporting Level QA/QC Surrogates: Surrogates are compounds (preceeded with a %) added by the lab to determine analysis efficiency. Surrogate results(%) listed in the report are not "detected" compounds.

Comments:

All soils, solids and sludges are reported on a dry weight basis unless otherwise noted in the sample comments.

If there are any questions regarding this data, please call Phoenix Client Services.

This report must not be reproduced except in full as defined by the attached chain of custody.

Phyllis Shiller, Laboratory Director

February 05, 2019

Reviewed and Released by: Rashmi Makol, Project Manager



587 East Middle Tumpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045 Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

Analysis Report

February 05, 2019

FOR:

Attn: Julie Healy

C2G Northeast Operations

25 Mallane Lane Naugatuck. CT 06770

Sample Information

Matrix:

SOIL

Location Code:

C2G-CT

Rush Request:

Standard

P.O.#:

1901-2102

Custody Information

Laboratory Data

Collected by: Received by:

Analyzed by:

Units

%

JH CP

see "By" below

Dilution

01/29/19

Date/Time

02/01/19

02/01/19

Date

<u>Time</u> 10:55

Reference

SW846-%Solid

02/01/19

Bv

DA

Phoenix ID: CC39603

SG/LV SW3545A

12:05

SDG ID: GCC39602

Project ID:

Extraction of CT ETPH

MAX HAKIM C8471

Client ID:

N3120- BELOW LINES

RL/

Parameter PQL Result Percent Solid 90

TPH by GC (Extractable	Product	<u>s)</u>					
Ext. Petroleum H.C. (C9-C36)	ND	55	mg/Kg	1	02/02/19	JRB	CTETPH 8015D
Identification	ND		mg/Kg	1	02/02/19	JRB	CTETPH 8015D
QA/QC Surrogates							
% n-Pentacosane	51		%	1	02/02/19	JRB	50 - 150 %

RL/PQL=Reporting/Practical Quantitation Level ND=Not Detected BRL=Below Reporting Level

QA/QC Surrogates: Surrogates are compounds (preceeded with a %) added by the lab to determine analysis efficiency. Surrogate results(%) listed in the report are not "detected" compounds.

Comments:

All soils, solids and sludges are reported on a dry weight basis unless otherwise noted in the sample comments.

If there are any questions regarding this data, please call Phoenix Client Services.

This report must not be reproduced except in full as defined by the attached chain of custody.

Completed

Phyllis Shiller, Laboratory Director

February 05, 2019

Reviewed and Released by: Rashmi Makol, Project Manager



587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045 Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

QA/QC Report

February 05, 2019

QA/QC Data

SDG I.D.: GCC39602

Parameter	Blank	Blk RL	LCS %	LCSD %	LCS RPD	MS %	MSD %	MS RPD	% Rec Limits	% RPD Limits
QA/QC Batch 465528 (mg/Kg), QC Sam	ple No: CC39602 (CC39602, C	C3960	3)						
TPH by GC (Extractable	Produc	ts) - Soil								
Ext. Petroleum H.C. (C9-C36)	ND	50	68	61	10.9	105	99	5.9	60 - 120	30
% n-Pentacosane	80	%	68	65	4.5	82	77	6.3	50 - 150	30
Comment:										
	Additional surrogate criteria: LCS acceptance range is 60-120% MS acceptance range 50-150%. The ETPH/DRO LCS has been normalized based on the alkane calibration.									

If there are any questions regarding this data, please call Phoenix Client Services at extension 200.

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD - Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

MS - Matrix Spike

MS Dup - Matrix Spike Duplicate

NC - No Criteria

Intf - Interference

Phyllis/Shiller, Laboratory Director

February 05, 2019

Criteria: CT: GAM, GWP, I/C, RC, SWP Tuesday, February 05, 2019

Sample Criteria Exceedances Report

GCC39602 - C2G-CT

Analysis Units

RL Criteria

김

Result

Criteria

Phoenix Analyte

Acode

SampNo

State: CT

Phoenix Laboratories does not assume responsibility for the data contained in this exceedance report. It is provided as an additional tool to identify requested criteria exceedences. All efforts are made to ensure the accuracy of the data (obtained from appropriate agencies). A lack of exceedence information does not necessarily suggest conformance to the criteria. It is ultimately the site professional's responsibility to determine appropriate compliance. *** No Data to Display ***



REASONABLE CONFIDENCE PROTOCOL LABORATORY ANALYSIS QA/QC CERTIFICATION FORM

Laboratory Name: Phoenix Environmental Labs, Inc. Client: C2G Northeast Operations

Project Location: MAX HAKIM C8471 Project Number:

Laboratory Sample ID(s): CC39602, CC39603 Sampling Date(s): 1/29/2019

List RCP Methods Used (e.g., 8260, 8270, et cetera) ETPH

1	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were all specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, including the requirement to explain any criteria falling outside of acceptable guidelines, as specified in the CT DEP method-specific Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents?	✓ Yes □ No
1A	Were the method specified preservation and holding time requirements met?	✓ Yes □ No
1B	<u>VPH and EPH methods only:</u> Was the VPH or EPH method conducted without significant modifications (see section 11.3 of respective RCP methods)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ NA
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition consistent with that described on the associated Chain-of-Custody document(s)?	✓ Yes □ No
3	Were samples received at an appropriate temperature (< 6 Degrees C)?	✓ Yes □ No □ NA
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the CTDEP Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents achieved?	✓ Yes □ No
5	a) Were reporting limits specified or referenced on the chain-of-custody?	✓ Yes □ No
	b) Were these reporting limits met?	Yes No
6	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were results reported for all constituents identified in the method-specific analyte lists presented in the Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents?	✓ Yes □ No
7	Are project-specific matrix spikes and laboratory duplicates included in the data set?	✓ Yes □ No

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information must be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A or 1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Reasonable Confidence". This form may not be altered and all questions must be answered.

I, the undersigned, attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, to the best of my knowledge and belief and based upon my personal inquiry of those responsible for providing the information contained in this analytical report, such information is accurate and complete.								
Authorized Signature: Rashui Wakol	Position: Project Manager							
Printed Name: Rashmi Makol	Date: Tuesday, February 05, 2019							
Name of Laboratory Phoenix Environmental Labs, Inc.								

This certification form is to be used for RCP methods only.



587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045 Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823



RCP Certification Report

February 05, 2019

SDG I.D.: GCC39602

ETPH Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents achieved? Yes.

Instrument:

AU-FID11 02/01/19-1

Jeff Bucko, Chemist 02/01/19

CC39603

The initial calibration (ETPHD12I) RSD for the compound list was less than 30% except for the following compounds: None. As per section 7.2.3, a discrimination check standard was run (201A005) and contained the following outliers: None. The continuing calibration %D for the compound list was less than 30% except for the following compounds:None.

AU-FID84 02/01/19-1

Jeff Bucko, Chemist 02/01/19

CC39602

The initial calibration (ETPH111I) RSD for the compound list was less than 30% except for the following compounds: None. As per section 7.2.3, a discrimination check standard was run (201A003_1) and contained the following outliers: None. The continuing calibration %D for the compound list was less than 30% except for the following compounds:None.

QC (Site Specific):

Batch 465528 (CC39602)

CC39602, CC39603

All LCS recoveries were within 60 - 120 with the following exceptions: None.

All LCSD recoveries were within 60 - 120 with the following exceptions: None.

All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than 30% with the following exceptions: None.

All MS recoveries were within 50 - 150 with the following exceptions: None.

All MSD recoveries were within 50 - 150 with the following exceptions: None.

All MS/MSD RPDs were less than 30% with the following exceptions: None.

Additional surrogate criteria: LCS acceptance range is 60-120% MS acceptance range 50-150%. The ETPH/DRO LCS has been normalized based on the alkane calibration.

Temperature Narration

The samples were received at 1.0C with cooling initiated. (Note acceptance criteria for relevant matrices is above freezing up to 6°C)

_	-				_			 -	_	 			-		_
No No No	Pg /	277.77	This section MUST be completed with Bottle Quantities.	TO THE	Policies Constitution of the Constitution of t						Data Format	Excel St PDF GIS/Key	Equis Charles	Data Package Ther II Checklist Full Data Package*	Other Surcharge Applies
Coolant: IPK (-)	Temp	Deta Delivery:	Hakim (847) Project P.O. 16		SC 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		-				CT	MCP Certification GW-1 GW-2		GB Mobility Residential DEC S-2 NC DEC S-3 MWRAeSMART	State where samples were collected:
	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	587 East Middle Tumpike, P.O. Box 370, Manchester, CT 08040 Email: info@phoenixlabs.com Fax (860) 645-0823 Clent Services (860) 645-8726	Project: Max Ha Report to: C26 Invoice to: C26 Phone #:	Analysis Request			×				Date: Time: RI	2/1/0 12:05 (Reski	Other	Tumaround: 1 Day 2 Days 3 Days*	State v Surchange Applies
		Environmental Laboratories, Inc.	Customer: C26 Environmental Consultants Address: Sin 25 Mallane Lane Naugetuck CT	Sampler's Signature Client Sample - Information - Identification Signature Code:	PHOENIX USE ONLY SAMPLE 8 Identification Matrix Sampled Sampled	N312D-Below Tank S 1 29 19	34605 M3120-Below Lines > 1/29/19 10:55/A				Relutatished by:	Theresay Follow	Community County Descripements as Described ones		

C2G Environmental Consultants, LLC 25 Mallane Lane Naugatuck, CT 06770

Laboratory Analytical Results of Below Tank and Lines Soil Samples VS CTDEEP ETPH Method Soil Criteria Value for Residential Properties

Removal of 550 Gallon #2 Fuel Oil UST - Sampling Data Summary

Site Loc:

Hakim Residence 282 Round Hill Road Greenwich, CT 06831 Customer/Address
Farhad Hakim
279 E 44 St. Apt. 16 J
New York, NY 10017

Sample Location	<u>Criteria Value</u> <u>Value</u>	Sample Results
	CTDEEP ETPH Method Soil Criteria Value for Residential Properties	
	mg/kg	mg/kg
Below Tank 01/29/2019	500	ND
Below Lines 01/29/2019	500	ND

Notes:

- 1. All data/sample results are recorded in micrograms-per-kilogram (µg/kg)/parts per billion (ppb).
- 2. ND = Not Detected (Compound analyzed but not detected) Detection limits may vary
- 3. ETPH = Extractable Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons
- 4. Soil Criteria Value of 500 mg/kg ETPH for residential properties adopted from the CTDEEP
 "Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbon Fractions Using the ETPH Analytical Method and Criteria Development
 TECHNICAL SUPPORT DOCUMENT"